PHILOSOPHY RESEARCH ARCHIVES

CONTENTS OF VOLUME XI, 1985 Published, March, 1986

1.	John Kilcullen, MacQuarie University, Sydney Bayle on the Rights of Conscience	1
2.	John A. Barker and Thomas D. Paxson, Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville	
	Aristotle vs. Diodorus: Who Won the Fatalism Debate?	41
3.	Michael A. Principe, vanderbilt University Is Universalization in Ethics Significant for Choosing A Theory of Identity Across Possible Worlds?	77
4.	Lawrence R. Carleton, University of San Diego Levels in Description and Explanation	89
5.	Viorica Farkas, University of California, Irvine Dreaming in Descartes à la Wilson	111
6.	Germain Kopaczynski, st. Hyacinth College/Seminary A Real Distinction in St. Thomas Aquinas? 20th Century Opponents and the Linguistic Turn	127
7.	Karl Pfeifer, University of Saskatchewan A Consideration of Modifications to the Multiplying Account	141
8.	Sander H. Lee, Howard University Reverse Discrimination and Social Justice	155
9.	Fay Horton Sawyier, Illinois Institute of Technology Philosophy as Autobiography: John Stuart Mill's Case	169
0.	Joan C. Callahan, Louisiana State University The Silent Scream: A New, Conclusive Case Against Abortion?	181
11.	Larry A. Alexander, University of San Diego Fair Equality of Opportunity: John Rawls' (Best) Forgotten Principle	197
12.	Daniel Shaw, Gettysburg College Absurdity and Suicide: A Reexamination	209
13.	Sander H. Lee, Howard University Existential Themes in the Films of Alfred Hitchcock	225
4.	Carl Cremor, University of California, Riverside Billy Buddi and the Budy to Enforce the Law: A Sketch of Some of the Major Moral Japanes	245

iv INFORMATION

15.	T.F. Morris, American University Plato's Lysis	269
16.	George Englebretsen, Bishop's University Semantic Considerations for Sommers' Logic	281
17.	Theodore W. Schick, Jr., Muhlenberg College In Defense of the Correspondence Theory	319
18.	Richard A. Blanke, University of Northern Colorado The Motivation to be Moral in the Groundwork to the Metaphysics of Morals	335
19.	Stephen Griffith, Lycoming College How Not to Argue About Abortion	347
20.	Maurice Mandelbaum, Hanover, New Hampshire The Determinants of Choice	355
21.	Robert D. Heslep, University of Georgia Gewirth and the Voluntary Agent's Esteem of Purpose	379
22.	Timo Airaksinen, University of Helsinki Absolutely Certain Beliefs: Odegard, Rescher and Klein	393
23.	Natika Newton, c.w. Post Campus, Long Island University Acting and Perceiving in Body and Mind	407
24.	Louis G. Lombardi, Lake Forest College The Nature of Rights	431
25.	Robert L. Greenwood, University of South Alabama C.I. Lewis and the Issue of Phenomenalism	441
26.	Harold Zellner, Kent State University Spinoza's Causal Likeness Principle	453
27.	Douglas N. Walton and Deborah C. Hobbs, University of Winnipe Non-Treatment of Spina Bifida Babies	g 463
28.	Peter Nicholls and Dan Passell, Portland State University Kripke's Contingent A Priori and Necessary A Posteriori	481
29.	Douglas Low, American University The Existential Dialectic of Marx and Merleau-Ponty	491
30.	Sander H. Lee, Howard University The Failure of Love and Sexual Desire in the Philosophy of Jean-Paul Sartre	513
31.	Harold H. Kuester, Defiance College The Dependence of Stephen Toulmin's Epistemology on a Description/Prescription Dichotomy	521
32.	Michael Davis, University of Illinois-Chicago Interested Vegetables, Rational Emotions, and Moral Status	531

INFORMATION	v

33.	William M. Goodman, Durham College Structures and Procedures: Carnap's Construction in the Aufbau	551
34.	Stephen Pollard, Northeast Missouri State University Plural Quantification and the Iterative Concept of Set	579
35.	Frank G. Verges, California State University, Fullerton On Having Your Marx and Deconstructing Him Too	589
36.	Charles T. Hagen, California Polytechnic State University Rationality in Plato's Republic	611
37.	Michael R. Baumer, Cleveland State University Sketch for a Model Interpretation of Descertes' Cogito	635

MICROFICHE SUPPLEMENT

(in pocket of inside back cover)

38. Richard A. Talaska, cincinnati, ohio
The Emergence of the Early Modern Concept of System

ABSTRACT. This study is meant to provide a means of understanding the change of philosophic perspective from the naive classical view that natures manifest themselves to mind to the modern view that they do so only as mediated by thought or speech. It does so by tracing the emergence of the early modern concept that philosophy must be presented as a system of propositions or laws in order to be scientific. It is argued that certain early moderns adopted the term system from the Stoic definition of art and that they clearly delineated the essential characteristics of systematicity but spoke only of systems of individual sciences. R{gis first applied the term to philosophy as a whole, but Hobbes before him conceived of system in R{-gis's sense. The conclusion is a more precise understanding of the origin of the modern use of the term and of the meaning of the early modern concept of philosophic system.

(This is an 83-page, single-spaced monograph.)