Volume XVII. Number 1. January, 1908.

Whole Number 97.

THE

PHILOSOPHICAL REVIEW.

THE PRAGMATIST ACCOUNT OF TRUTH AND ITS MISUNDERSTANDERS.

THE account of truth given by me in the Journal of Philosophy for March 14 of this year (Vol. IV, p. 141) and printed later in my volume entitled Pragmatism, continues to meet with such persistent misunderstanding that I am tempted to make a final brief reply. My ideas may well deserve refutation, but they can get none till they are conceived of in their proper shape. The fantastic character of the current misconceptions shows how unfamiliar is the concrete point of view which pragma-Persons who are familiar with a conception move tism assumes. about so easily in it that they understand each other at a hint, and can converse without anxiously attending to their P's and Q's. I have to admit, in view of the results, that we have assumed too ready an intelligence, and consequently in many places used a language too slipshod. We should never have spoken ellipti-The critics have boggled at every word they could boggle cally. at, and refused to take the spirit rather than the letter of our dis-This seems to show a genuine unfamiliarity in the whole course. point of view. It also shows, I think, that the second stage of opposition, which has already begun to express itself in the stock phrase that 'what is new is not true, and what is true not new,' in pragmatism, is insincere. If we said nothing in any degree new, why was our meaning so desperately hard to catch? The blame cannot be laid wholly upon our obscurity of speech, for in other subjects we have attained to making ourselves understood. But recriminations are tasteless; and, as far as I personally am concerned, I am sure that some of the misconception I complain

I